

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. D MJ-729 SCHADE 09/381,484 02/28/00 **EXAMINER** HM12/0821 WANG, S WENDELL RAY GUFFEY **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER MEAD JOHNSON & COMPANY 2400 W. LLOYD EXPRESSWAY(A21) 1617 EVANSVILLE IN 47721-0001 **DATE MAILED:** 08/21/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

•			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/381,484	SCHADE ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Shengjun Wang	1617
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	<u>June 2001</u> .	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims			
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 14-20</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 14-20</u> is/are rejected.		
7) 🗌	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. \$ 119			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. ≸ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Applicati	on No
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).			
Attachment(s)			
16) 🔲 Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	19) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/381,484

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt of the amendments and remarks submitted June 15, 2001 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-8 and 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kyle (U.S. Patent 5,374,657) in view of Crozier G.L. et al. (Monatschrift Für Kinderheilkunde, Vol. 143, No. 7, 1995, page 95-98) for reasons essentially the same as set forth in the prior office action. With respect to the newly added composition claims, see, particularly, column 13-16 in Kyle

Applicants' amendments and remarks submitted June 15, 2001 have been fully considered, but are not persuasive for reasons discussed below.

Applicants' assertion that Crozier teaches away from the instant invention is not persuasive. Particularly, as stated in the summary, Crozier teaches that premature infant require a dietary source of preformed C20 and C22 long chain polyunsaturated fatty acid, essentially, arachidonic acid (AA or ARA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA). Crozier further suggest that the growth depress in his studies is due to the presence of EPA in the formula he used. EPA is known to have similar structure as ARA and is known to inhibit the biosynthesis of ARA. See column 1, lines 23-25. Therefore, Crozier teaches the criticality of both DHA and ARA in the dietary source for preterm infant.

Application/Control Number: 09/381,484

Art Unit: 1617

Regarding applicants' remarks about "enhanced growth" and "proper growth", note the enhanced growth, as defined in specification page 5, lines 2-10, is relative to those feed with DHA only, or without DHA and ARA. The examiner' does not see a patentable distinct feature between "enhanced growth" and "proper growth".

- 3. In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).
- 4. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5

 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the motivation to do so found both in the references and in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. Particularly, the secondary reference teaches the criticality of DHA and ARA in dietary source for preterm infants.

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shengjun Wang, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-4554. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minna Moezie, J.D., can be reached on (703) 308-4612. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Shengjun Wang

AU 1617

August 17, 2001

RUSSELL TRAVERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1200